Development of a high-resolution fat and CSF-suppressed optic nerve DTI protocol at 3T: application in multiple sclerosis
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Summary

Clinical trials of neuroprotective interventions in multiple sclerosis require outcome measures that reflect the disease pathology. Measures of neuroaxonal integrity in the anterior visual pathways are of particular interest in this context, however imaging of the optic nerve is technically challenging. We therefore developed a 3T optic nerve diffusion tensor imaging protocol incorporating fat and cerebrospinal fluid suppression and without parallel imaging. The sequence used a scheme with six diffusion-weighted directions, b=600s/m² plus one b=0 (b₀) and 40 repetitions, averaged offline, giving an overall scan time of 30 minutes. A coronal oblique orientation was used with voxel size 1.17mmx1.17mmx4mm. We validated the sequence in 10 MS patients with a history of optic neuritis and 11 healthy controls: mean fractional anisotropy was reduced in the patients: 0.346(±0.159) versus 0.528(±0.123), p<0.001; radial diffusivity was increased: 0.940(±0.370)x10⁻⁶mm²/s compared to 0.670(±0.221)x10⁻⁶mm²/s (p<0.01). No significant differences were seen for mean diffusivity or mean axial diffusivity.
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Introduction

The optic nerve is affected by neuroinflammatory diseases such as multiple sclerosis. As a discrete white matter tract providing sensitive measures of clinical function, it provides a useful model to study the pathophysiology of individual demyelinating lesions. Inflammatory demyelinated lesions in the optic nerve due to optic neuritis are pathologically identical to multiple sclerosis plaques observed elsewhere in the central nervous system (CNS) (Breij et al., 2008). In vivo measurement of the diffusion coefficient of water molecules in the optic nerve is of potential relevance for the study of focal demyelination and axonal loss in optic neuritis and multiple sclerosis (Schmierer et al., 2007; Song et al., 2005). Thus, abnormalities in quantitative diffusion tensor (DT)-derived parameters in the anterior visual pathway that can be related to clinical and electrophysiological measures of visual function can also be used to elucidate symptom pathophysiology and inform on disease mechanisms (Kolappan et al., 2009).

However, there are several challenges associated with DT imaging (DTI) of the optic nerve. Due to its small size there is a trade-off between high spatial resolution and adequate signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) [the diameter of the human optic nerve has previously been quoted to be 3-4mm (Xu et al., 2008) or 3-7mm (Wang et al., 2011)]. Tissue signal may be contaminated by signal from surrounding cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and fat (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001). Motion artifacts may result from involuntary motion of the nerve in addition to patient motion (Xu et al., 2008). Additionally, various issues may arise from the use of rapid imaging techniques such as echo planar imaging (EPI), in particular geometric distortions due to susceptibility differences of fat and air (Barker, 2001).
the magnitude of image distortions. In order to minimize such distortions, the phase-encoding FOV for each echo-train readout may be reduced, for example, by using parallel imaging, thereby reducing the acquisition window duration and hence image distortions. However, this may not be available along all imaging axes, depending on the type of receiver coil used. Ramp sampling and a higher receiver bandwidth can also be used to reduce the echo spacing. The bandwidth in EPI is often set to the maximum possible (at a cost of a reduction in SNR) because distortions are such a problem with this technique (Skaare and Bammer, 2011). Alternatively, the pixel resolution could be reduced (although in the case of the optic nerve this is not a desirable option as, due to its small size, high resolution is required to avoid major partial volume issues), or multi-shot EPI could be used (although navigator echoes would then be required for motion correction between shots). For small objects such as the optic nerve, the echo train length could be reduced by reducing the FOV, however this may result in aliasing (wrap-around artifact), unless techniques such as ZOOM-EPI [e.g., (Hickman et al., 2005)] are employed. These techniques, however, are not available on all clinical scanners, including the one used in this study, and therefore a research agreement with the manufacturer and sequence programming efforts would be needed. Based on the manufacturer’s standard DTI sequence, we developed a multi-slice fat and CSF-suppressed DTI protocol in healthy controls to image both optic nerves simultaneously at 3T. This sequence was then tested by quantitative assessment of mean diffusivity (MD), fractional anisotropy (FA), axial diffusivity (λ║) (principal eigenvalue of the diffusion tensor), and radial diffusivity (λ┴) (average of second and third eigenvalues) in multiple sclerosis subjects with a history of optic neuritis.

Methods

This study was approved by the local ethics committee and all subjects provided informed consent in writing prior to the commencement of the data acquisition. Images were acquired on a Siemens MAGNETOM 3T Tim Trio scanner (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany), using a body coil transmitter and a twelve-element receive head coil. Maximum gradient strength was 45 mT m⁻¹ along z and 40 mT m⁻¹ along x and y. Coronal oblique slices were planned using a separately acquired T₂-weighted sagittal image, as indicated in figure 1, with phase encoding in the superior/inferior (S/I) direction and signal saturation bands applied both superiorly and inferiorly to the prescribed imaging area to minimize wrap-around artifacts.

Sequence development in a healthy control

Two protocols, based on the standard (manufacturer’s) DTI sequence were compared, one using parallel imaging [Generalised Autocalibrating Partially Parallel Acquisitions, GRAPPA (Griswold et al., 2002)] and the other without parallel imaging. For protocol comparison, a healthy volunteer (female, age 26) was scanned. Coronal images were acquired using a spin echo (SE) EPI sequence with an inversion pulse for CSF suppression and a spectral-spatial excitation pulse for fat suppression. Both protocols had a diffusion scheme of six diffusion-weighted (DW) directions [(Gx, Gy, Gz) = (1, 0, 1), (-1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1), (0, 1, -1), (1, 1, 0), (-1, 1, 0)], with b=600mm²/s plus one b=0mm²/s (b₀), and used at least 20 averages to enable definition of the central position of the optic nerve (Wheeler-Kingshott et al., 2002; 2000). Although for white matter diffusion acquisitions the optimal b-value is approximately 1000mm²/s, much lower b-values are typically used in optic nerve DTI studies [e.g. (Kolbe et al., 2009; Naismith et al., 2010; Techavipoo et al., 2009; Wheeler-Kingshott et al., 2002; 2006] in order to maximize SNR and because of the motion sensitivity of DTI and the constant motion of the optic nerve. It has also previously been shown that, for optic nerve DTI, the acquisition of repeated averages of the same diffusion direction are necessary in order to minimize the effect of optic nerve motion between image acquisitions (Wheeler-Kingshott et al., 2000). This improves the delineation of the central position of the optic nerve, hence our choice to acquire multiple averages of data along only six diffusion directions, rather than a larger number of unique diffusion directions. Two gradients were applied simultaneously with the maximum achievable amplitude for each to achieve the requested b-value whilst minimizing the echo time (TE). Sixteen contiguous 4mm slices were acquired, with FOV=15cm, acquisition matrix 128x64 (pixel size 1.17mm×1.17mm), TR=6s, TI=1.2s, TE=82ms. An initial starting point for the inversion time was selected based on the sequence signal equation (for the partic-

Figure 1 - Sagittal image of a single subject with the positions of the coronal slices through the optic nerve indicated.
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ular TR value of the sequence), then iteratively optimized by altering the TI and choosing the value at which signal from CSF was effectively nulled.

(i) PARALLEL IMAGING – SPEED UP FACTOR 2

For this part of the testing, the protocol was run with an acceleration factor of 2 in the phase-encoding direction. Given that the geometry of the 12-element receive coil does not allow parallel imaging in the S/I direction, the phase-encoding direction was set as right to left (R/L). In order to evaluate the effect of geometrical distortions with reference to the optic nerves, the acquisition was run twice, each time with 20 repetitions, with the phase-encoding direction reversed (from R/L to L/R) in the second acquisition (Kolbe et al., 2009), giving a total scan time of 30 minutes. This procedure allowed the acquisition of usable data for each optic nerve (Kolbe et al., 2009). In fact, because of the spatial displacement due to the susceptibility distortions, applying gradients R/L obscured one optic nerve that ended up being very close to other tissue structures, while applying them L/R obscured the other optic nerve.

(ii) STANDARD ACQUISITION:

Distortions present in the protocol using phase encoding in the R/L or L/R direction affected optic nerve identification, as stated in (i). We therefore set up a protocol applying the phase-encoding gradient in the S/I direction to alleviate this problem. However, this did not allow the use of GRAPPA (Griswold et al., 2002) due to the geometry of coil elements (parallel imaging could not be applied in the S/I direction). Signal saturation bands were applied superiorly and inferiorly to the prescribed imaging area to minimize wrap-around artifacts, which would occur in the phase-encoding direction if the FOV were smaller than the head, causing the region beyond the desired area to project inside the image. This resulted in superior image quality and minimal artifacts compared to protocol (i). In order to compensate for the low SNR and to estimate the average position of the optic nerve, 40 averages were acquired as previously described at 1.5T (Wheeler-Kingshott et al., 2006), taking approximately 30 minutes in total.

Sequence validation in multiple sclerosis patients and controls

Ten subjects with secondary progressive multiple sclerosis (7M, 3F, age 40-54, mean age 48.4, SD 4.1 years) and 11 controls (7M, 4F, age 25-53, mean age 37.2, SD 8.8 years) were recruited. Sixteen (of twenty) eyes in the patient group had been affected by a previous clinical episode of optic neuritis 5-27 years prior to this study (Table I). Good recovery of visual function (defined as ≤0.1 logMAR) was seen in eight of the 16 affected eyes (mean logMAR: 0.15, range: -0.14 to 0.66). In all, we studied 16 clinically affected patients’ optic nerves and four unaffected patients’ optic nerves. All the optic nerves of the controls were included in the study. All the participants were imaged using the standard acquisition protocol (ii).

Image analysis

DTI analysis was performed using an established procedure (Trip et al., 2006; Wheeler-Kingshott et al., 2002). In order to reduce noise while preserving structure, a non-linear smoothing algorithm was applied prior to averaging (Parker et al., 2000). In order to define the central position of the optic nerve (Wheeler-Kingshott et al., 2002; 2000), and to ensure sufficient SNR, diffusion data were averaged to give seven DW volumes (one b0 and six b≈600s/mm2). The motion of the optic nerve was “frozen” during each single-shot acquisition of one image, however motion between successive acquisitions was problematic; averaging over many images was found to be the best way to allow for this, resulting in high quality images of the mean position of the nerve (Wheeler-Kingshott et al., 2000). The data were then eddy current corrected using the FSL software library (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl), and the DT was fitted using the Camino software toolkit.

Table I - Clinical details of optic neuritis patients included in the clinical study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>MS subtype</th>
<th>EDSS</th>
<th>Disease duration (yrs)</th>
<th>Optic nerve side affected</th>
<th>Time elapsed since first clinical episode of optic neuritis (yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>L-26, R-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>L-6, R-unknown*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>R-11, L-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>R-7, L-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>R-7, L-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>R-6, L-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SP=secondary progressive; EDSS=Expanded Disability Status Scale score; * Patient unsure of the exact time and not evident from clinical notes
Small, square regions of interest (ROIs) of fixed size (2x2 voxels or 5.5mm$^2$) were manually placed on the $b_0$ averaged coronal oblique images using Displayer (Plummer, 1992), using the maximum signal intensity and minimum standard deviation to guide positioning. The ROIs were then applied to the calculated parameter maps to determine diffusion-related indices. Potential contamination of ROIs by CSF due to optic nerve atrophy was controlled for by the use of CSF suppression and the selection of small ROIs (2x2 voxels in total size). The MD, FA, $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ were measured in each optic nerve of all volunteers. The direction of the principal eigenvector associated with $\lambda_1$ was visually assessed according to the study by Wheeler-Kingshott and Cercignani (2009). These parameters were measured over multiple slices for all ROIs where the optic nerve could be reliably identified [average 3.5 (range: 3-6) consecutive slices in each nerve for both controls and patients in the validation study], and mean values were calculated from all measurements in both nerves.

**Results**

**Sequence development in a healthy control**

Averaged $b_0$ images acquired using protocol (i) with phase-encoding along the R/L direction and parallel imaging showed significant distortion artifacts (see Fig. 2; artifacts indicated by yellow arrows). In figure 2b, the phase-encoding direction was reversed with respect to 2a, and the direction of the distortion artifact was also reversed. By contrast, images acquired using protocol (ii) with the S/I phase-encoding direction allowed consistent location of the optic nerve position and reliable determination of DTI parameters. Example images acquired using protocol (ii) are shown in figure 3, with averaged $b_0$ images (a) at the top, and MD (b) and FA (c) images below; optic nerve positions are also indicated by red arrows. A mean MD value of 1.07 ±0.10 x 10^{-6} m^2 s^{-1} in the left optic nerve and 1.03 ±0.17 x 10^{-6} m^2 s^{-1} in the right optic nerve.
right optic nerve was measured using the parallel imaging protocol (over four consecutive slices in each nerve, since the two nerves were imaged separately using this protocol). A mean value of \(1.11 \pm 0.24\) \(\times 10^{-6}\) m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) was measured for the left optic nerve and \(1.21 \pm 0.14\) \(\times 10^{-6}\) m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) for the right optic nerve using the standard acquisition protocol (ii) (over four consecutive slices).

The R/L distortions present in the images acquired with parallel imaging according to protocol (i) always resulted in one optic nerve being obscured (either the right or left optic nerve, depending on the phase-encoding direction - see Fig. 2), as previously demonstrated by Kolbe et al. (2009). Combining L/R and R/L data by using a distortion correction algorithm could be an option but would double the scan time making this approach non-clinically feasible. Some wrap-around occurs in the S/I direction in more posterior slices of volumes acquired using the standard acquisition protocol (ii) (see Fig. 3), but images are much less distorted in the R/L direction. By carefully positioning the coronal oblique slices and using S/I saturation bands, it is possible to ensure that the wrap-around does not overlap with the optic nerve, thus allowing diffusion parameters to be measured simultaneously in both nerves. Consequently, this approach was chosen for the clinical measurements.

**Sequence validation in healthy controls and multiple sclerosis patients**

A set of \(b_0\) images for a control subject, acquired using the standard high-resolution protocol, are shown in figure 4, with the positions of the optic nerves and optic chiasm (blue) indicated by arrows (all averages combined, slice order: anterior to posterior). Figure 5 (over) shows averaged DW images (from anterior to posterior) for the same subject acquired using the same protocol (ii), with red arrows indicating the positions of the optic nerves, and a blue arrow indicating the optic chiasm. In both figures, the ROI positions (four pixels in size) are indicated in red.

Healthy control mean optic nerve MD and FA values measured using the standard acquisition protocol (ii) were consistent with previous measurements made at 1.5T (Chabert et al., 2005; Trip et al., 2006; Wheeler-Kingshott et al., 2006) and 3T (Kolbe et al., 2009; Naismith et al., 2009; 2010; Smith et al., 2011; Techavipoo et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2007). No significant R/L difference was observed in any diffusion parameter (tested by performing two-tailed paired Student’s t-tests for the control group as a whole for each parameter); hence, averaged values are reported here.

One-way ANCOVA tests, with post-hoc paired comparisons were performed to test for significance of changes in patients’ affected nerves compared to healthy control nerves, with gender and age included as covariates in the model. Mean FA was reduced in clinically affected nerves from the patient group compared to control nerves \(0.346 \pm 0.159\) vs \(0.528 \pm 0.123\), \(p<0.01\). We found no significant differences in mean MD between clinically affected and control nerves \(1.14 \pm 0.36\) \(\times 10^{-6}\) mm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) vs \(1.00 \pm 0.17\) \(\times 10^{-6}\) mm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\), \(p=0.256\), or in mean \(\lambda_{1}\) \(1.46 \pm 0.41\) \(\times 10^{-6}\) mm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) vs \(1.57 \pm 0.25\) \(\times 10^{-6}\) mm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\), \(p=0.509\).

---

Figure 4 - Averaged \(b_0\) images (from anterior to posterior) for a single subject acquired using the standard high-resolution protocol, with red arrows indicating the positions of the optic nerves, red indicating the positioning of the 4 pixels included in each of the ROIs, and a blue arrow indicating the optic chiasm. The anterior part of the optic nerve is easiest to observe, whereas its middle portion can become obscured due to the wrap-around artifact in posterior image slices.
Mean $\lambda_\perp$ for patients’ affected nerves was significantly increased compared to the control mean value [0.94 (±0.37) x 10^{-6} mm^2s^{-1} vs 0.67 (±0.22) x 10^{-6} mm^2s^{-1}, p<0.05].

We performed one-way ANCOVA tests with post-hoc paired tests for comparisons (again including age and gender as covariates) of differences between both clinically affected nerves that recovered and those that did not, and also between recovered nerves and healthy control nerves. The only parameter that showed any difference between groups was FA: the FA of patients’ recovered nerves was reduced to 0.346 (±0.179), in comparison with the mean control value of 0.528 (±0.123) (p<0.05).

Additionally, we performed exploratory correlations between the patients’ logMAR visual scores and all the optic nerve DTI measures obtained. No significant correlations were found.

Discussion

Accurate diffusion measurements in the optic nerve may help to elucidate pathophysiological mechanisms in optic neuritis and other intrinsic optic nerve pathologies. However, optic nerve DTI is extremely challenging, and higher field strengths pose further problems despite the potentially increased SNR and resolution.

Several approaches have been published, but many require unconventional pulse sequence design and hence sequence development (Dowell et al., 2009; Hickman et al., 2005; Koch et al., 2002; Naismith et al., 2009; Trip et al., 2006; Wheeler-Kingshott et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2007).

A recently published study described a 3T SE-EPI sequence with parallel imaging (acceleration factor 3) to acquire 10x3.5mm slices, with in-plane resolution 1.3mmx1.3mm, perpendicular to each nerve individually (Kolbe et al., 2009). Twenty-two averages were performed of an optimized six-direction scheme, with a b-value of 600s/mm^2. This approach required the DTI sequence to be performed twice, once for each nerve (with the phase-encoding direction reversed), due to geometric distortions in the R/L direction, and therefore doubled the scan time. Another approach was proposed by Andersson et al. (2003) to correct for susceptibility distortions in SE-EPI images; this required two sets of data: one acquired with the phase-encoding direction reversed, plus a $B_0$ map, however, it may not be feasible for application in the optic nerve.

Techavipoo et al. (2009) used a conventional single-shot SE-EPI protocol with parallel imaging factor 2.5 and additional field inhomogeneity maps covering the whole brain with a voxel size of 1.5mmx1.5mm, and 3mm slices, and found that optic nerve tractography became feasible with geometric distortion correction. However, DTI measures were not significantly different when calculated with or without the use of distortion correction. Diffusion-weighted images were acquired with directional resolution of 32 directions (but no averaging) and a b-value of 850 s/mm^2, and the DTI acquisition took nine minutes.

Wang et al. (2011) performed axial optic nerve DTI with parallel imaging factor 2, enhanced gradients to improve SNR, and a higher resolution (0.9mmx0.9mm, and 2mm slices) to decrease partial volume effects. They used a b-value of 1000s/mm^2, and 64 diffusion direc-

Figure 5 - Averaged diffusion-weighted images (from anterior to posterior) for a single subject acquired using the standard high-resolution protocol, with red arrows indicating the positions of the optic nerves, red indicating the positioning of the 4 pixels included in each of the ROIs, and a blue arrow indicating the optic chiasm.
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sections, but did not apply CSF suppression in order to minimize acquisition time, therefore some contamination of signal from CSF may have occurred. The acquisition time was five minutes and 42 seconds. Naismith et al. (2010) studied 70 subjects with a history of optic neuritis more than six months prior to examination, using a single-shot SE-EPI reduced-FOV technique with twice refocused diffusion weighting, fat suppression and cardiac gating. They acquired images with 1.3mm isotropic voxels in a total scan time of 40 minutes. Radial diffusivity was found to distinguish between healthy nerves and between unaffected and affected nerves in patients, as well as categories of visual recovery. Eight to 12 image sets, each with one b0 and 12 DW images on 12 diffusion-encoding directions with b=600 s/mm2 were acquired for each slice group. Total scan time was 40 minutes. Smith et al. (2011) performed the largest 3T optic nerve DTI study of a cohort of multiple sclerosis patients (104 subjects), using a coronal-oblique SE-EPI sequence with outer volume suppression, and parallel imaging factor 3 to allow reduced TE; this gave a total scan time of just nine minutes and 22 seconds. The nominal acquired voxel size was 1.18mmx1.18mmx2.5 mm, and the data were zero-padded in k-space to achieve a reconstructed in-plane resolution of 0.28mmx0.28mm. A b-value of 500s/mm2 was used, and 15 gradient directions uniformly distributed about a sphere, with five b0 acquisitions, were performed. DTI measures were found to be sensitive to optic nerve damage.

In this study, we used a protocol with phase encoding in the S/I direction (in order to minimize artifacts caused by distortion and wrap-around) and without parallel imaging (due to the receive coil geometry) to image optic neuritis patients and healthy controls. Coronal-oblique DW images of both nerves were successfully acquired simultaneously at 3T, incorporating CSF and fat suppression to aid identification and delineation of the nerves. Averaging of magnitude images was then used to compensate for the low SNR in the acquired images and to estimate the average position of the optic nerve as previously described at 1.5T (Wheeler-Kingshott et al., 2006; 2000). Our protocol achieves a higher in-plane resolution than most of the above-mentioned studies [with the exception of the protocol used by Wang et al. (2011), in which 64 directions were acquired but no averaging was performed to determine the optic nerve position; and no CSF suppression was used] and simultaneous imaging of both nerves, with a higher number of averages than all the other previous studies used to optimally estimate the central optic nerve position. Unlike some of the studies mentioned (Naismith et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2011), our protocol was developed without the need for additional resources not likely to be available on standard clinical scanners, and can be readily implemented on any 3T system. We believe that the DTI sequence acquisition time (30 minutes) could feasibly be accommodated in a dedicated DTI protocol. With careful slice positioning, simultaneous multislice DTI of both human optic nerves at 3T is feasible in a clinical setting, and the changes observed in the clinically affected nerves of optic neuritis patients demonstrate the sensitivity of the technique. The additional SNR and resolution available at higher field strengths and the advent of 32-channel coils may also reopen the question of the potential of parallel imaging methods for minimization of R/L distortions and faster acquisition times for optic nerve DTI.
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